Comparison of the Physical Properties between Malaysian and Thai Rice

Parichart Sathongpan¹, Rosnah Shamsudin²*, Jaturapatr Varith¹, Nur Farhana Abd Rahman¹²

¹Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Agro-Industry, Maejo University 63 Sansai-Phrao Road, Nongharn, Sansai District, Chiang Mai, 50290 Thailand

²Department of Process and Food Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia,

*Corresponding author: Rosnah Shamsudin, Department of Process and Food Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia: rosnahs@upm.edu.my

Abstract: Rice is a staple food in the world market, especially in the Asian region. In each country, rice characteristics will differ in terms of shape, grade, and size. Thailand is one of the exporters in the global market rice among Asia South countries. Numerous studies have been done on different varieties of white and brown rice. However, research on physicochemical properties of different origin of white rice varieties is still limited, particularly between Malaysian and Thai rice. Therefore, it is essential to compare rice cultivars based on origin. This research aimed to evaluate the differences in physicochemical properties and improve Malaysian and Thai rice. One kilogram of Malaysian and Thai rice was processed into powder by way of grinding and then prepared for physicochemical measurement by sieving the produced rice powders with a size of 2 mm to get rid of foreign objects. The physical parameters measured were length, width, length/breadth ratio, thickness, density, grain shape, surface area, bulk density, actual density, porosity, sphericity, aspect ratio, thousand weight kernels angle of repose, colour (L, a*, b*) and hardness. The results of the study showed no significant differences (p>0.05) in terms of length, width, length/breadth ratio, actual density, porosity, sphericity, aspect ratio, angle of repose, Lightness (L) and b*. However, there is a significant variation (p < 0.05) between Malaysian and Thai rice in terms of thickness, surface area, bulk density, thousand weight kernels, colour (a*), and hardness parameters. The evaluation of the quality of Malaysian and Thai rice can be a guide or reference for the grading and sorting processing sectors according to consumers' preferences, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the leading food crops globally and is the staple food of approximately one-half of the world’s population (Singh *et al.*, 2003), consumed mainly in the form of whole grains. Rice has great diversity in its genetic background, grain shape, and cooking quality. The grain size and shape are among the first qualities that are considered in rice varieties for commercial production. They are primary factors in marketing, grading, and processing (Husain, 1984). The type of rice may affect properties such as grain size, shape, thousand-kernel weight, hardness, and density and eventually affect the final grain quality (Yadav *et al.*, 2007). During cooking, rice properties are changed due to exposure to high temperatures; this is believed to result from more remarkable water migration during cooking (Saleh & Meullenet, 2013). Aside from this, there are also external factors such as soaking time, cooking time, and water uptake before the cooking process.

Consequently, these factors affect the texture of rice kernels. The information related to porosity and specific gravity, within other physical characteristics of the agricultural products, are of paramount importance for studies involving heat and mass transfer and air movement through the bulk grain (Ghadge & Prasad, 2012). Rice grain quality is reported to be influenced by various physicochemical characteristics that determine the cooking behaviour and the cooked rice texture (Bocevska *et al.*, 2009; Moongngarm *et al.*, 2010).

Previous studies found that during cooking, the spaces between the rice grains are filled with water due to dripping water on the surface of the rice grains as the cooked rice grains are wet during cooking (Ramesh, 2000). Nowadays, people start to focus on consuming high-quality rice due to increasing health awareness. Thus, high-quality rice requirements will be substantially increased in market demand (Jiang *et al.*, 2010).

The awareness of physicochemical characteristics of the selected rice (Malaysian & Thai rice) is essentially vital during harvesting crops, transporting and dimensioning the storage process, manufacturing and operating various equipment applied in the main post-harvest processing operation (Ghadge & Prasad, 2012). Previously, research was performed on various brown rice and white rice (Chapagai *et al.*, 2020; Sam Lum, 2017). Meanwhile, Thomas *et al.* (2013) reported differences in various brown rice from Thailand and Malaysia.
However, research on white rice varieties' physicochemical properties is still limited, particularly between Malaysian and Thai rice. Therefore, it is essential to compare rice cultivars based on origin. Besides, the general physical properties can be used as general references for the grading, storage, and rice transportation in the rice industry.

Therefore, the present study's objective was to evaluate the difference in physicochemical properties of Malaysian and Thai rice cultivars.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation

The varieties of Malaysian rice used for this study were five kilograms of MR219, MR220CL, MR297, MR269, MR303, and MR309, obtained from a rice mill in Terengganu, Malaysia. The variety of Thai rice used was five kilograms of Thai jasmine rice, namely Khao Hom Mali from Royal Umbrella Thailand. These rice varieties were selected because they are commonly consumed and popular among both regions (Malaysia and Thailand). One kilogram of Malaysian and Thai rice was processed separately into powder by way of the grinding process (laboratory mill 120, Perten, Sweden). The samples were prepared by sieving Malaysian and Thai rice with the size of 2 mm before physicochemical measurement to get rid of foreign objects.

2.2. Physical Properties

2.2.1 Dimension

Rice properties were determined to include length, width, and thickness determined randomly; 20 rice kernel grains were used. A 0.1 mm accurate digital vernier calliper (Series500, Mitutoyo, Japan) was carried out in five replicates for rice kernel measurements. The values for length, width, and thickness of the 20 grains were recorded as mean ± SD for each sample.

2.2.2 Thousand kernel weight

The 1000 weight from each cultivar was calculated randomly thrice. The 1000 kernel weight was determined separately using a digital balance (ER-120A, AND, Japan) with an accuracy of 0.0001 g (Ahmad et al., 2007).

2.2.3 Length/width ratio

Twenty grains of rice kernel were arranged lengthwise and then widthwise for the cumulative measurement of the ratio of length (l) and breadth (b) in millimetres. The l/b ratio was calculated using the following equation 1 (Dela-Cruz & Khush, 2000):
2.2.4. The bulk density

The bulk density of rice kernels was calculated as mass per unit volume (Yadav et al., 2007). A 100 ml beaker was filled with rice up to the 100 ml sign, and the mass of rice grains was then weighed. The rice's weight was divided by the beaker's volume (100 ml) (Fraser et al., 1978). This measurement was carried out in five replicates. The bulk density was calculated using the following equation 2:

\[ \rho_b = \frac{M_g}{V_b} \]  

(2)

2.2.5. The actual density

The actual density was calculated by filling the 100 ml beaker with 50 ml of distilled water and then placing a 3 g sample of rice into the respective beaker. The displaced water (volume of the grains) was recorded. The measurement was carried out in five replicates. The actual density was calculated using the following equation 3:

\[ \rho_t = \frac{M_{gt}}{V_{dw}} \]  

(3)

Where: \( \rho_t \) = true density (g/ml); \( M_{gt} \) = mass of rice grain (g); \( V_{dw} \) = volume of displaced water (ml)

2.2.6. The angle of repose

The angle of repose indicates the nature of the pile formed by the material. It is an angle to horizontal at which material stands when piled. The apparatuses consist of a hollow cylinder and a plywood plate. The cylinder was filled with grains and inclined slowly, allowing the grains to fall out gradually until it was empty. The height and radius of the assumed slope were measured using the scale. The average reading of five replicates was recorded for accuracy (Firouzi & Alizadeh, 2012). The angle of repose was calculated by using equation 4:
\[ \theta = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{2H}{D} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where: \( H \) = height of slope (cm); \( D \) = the diameter of slope (cm)

2.2.7. The aspect ratio, surface area, and volume

The aspect ratio was calculated by using (Maduako and Faborode, 1990) equation 5:

\[ R_a = \frac{W}{L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where: \( w \) = width of grain (mm); \( l \) = length of grain (mm)

The surface area and volume were calculated using (Jain & Bal, 1997) equations 6 and 7.

\[ S = \frac{\pi BL^2}{2L - B} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ V = 0.25 \left( \pi \frac{w + t}{6} l \right)^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where: \( S \) = surface area (mm\(^2\)); \( w \) = width of grain (mm); \( b \) = breadth of grain (mm); \( l \) = length of grain (mm); \( t \) = thickness of grain (mm)

2.2.8. The sphericity

Sphericity is defined as the ratio of the surface area of the sphere having the same volume as that of the grain to the grain's surface area. The sphericity was determined using equation 8 (Mohsenin, 1986).

\[ \phi = \left( \frac{LWT}{\pi} \right)^{1/3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where: \( w \) = width of grain (mm); \( l \) = length of grain (mm); \( t \) = thickness of grain (mm)

2.2.9. The porosity

The porosity was computed by (Jain & Bal, 1997) using equation 9.

\[ \varepsilon = \frac{\rho_b - \rho_t}{\rho_t} \times 100 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Where: \( \varepsilon \) = porosity (%); \( \rho_b \) = bulk density (kg/m\(^3\)); \( \rho_t \) = true density (kg/m\(^3\))
2.2.10. Grain hardness

Grain hardness is the maximum peak force using a texture analyser (TA.XT Plus, Stable Micro System Corp., UK). All textural analyses were replicated five times per sample (Williams & Phillips, 2000). A compression probe was set at 10 mm above the base. A one-cycle compression was used with a pre-test of 1 mm/sec; a test of 2 mm/sec; a post-test of 10 mm/sec; and a trigger force of 5 g. Hardness was the maximum force (N) of the first compression and the linear section slope of the load-displacement curve (Odenigbo et al., 2014).

2.2.11. Colour

The colour parameters (L*, a*, b*) were determined using a colour meter (UltraScan Pro, HunterLab, USA). The colour results were reported in terms of the 5-dimensional values based on the CIE (Ahmad et al., 2016). The colour coordinates for the extent of lightness (L*), redness-greenness (a*), and yellowness-blueness (b*). The notation of L* is a light factor, a* and b* are the chromaticity coordinates, which are more closely defined as the human eye sensitivity to colour. L* represents the difference between light (L*=100) and dark (L*=0). Then, a* represents the difference between green (-a*) and red (+a*) while b* represents blue (-b*) and yellow (+b*). A complete quantitative measurement is needed in order to express colour in numerical dimensions and values, including attributes of colour such as hue (red, blue, green), saturation or chroma (intensity or strength of hue), and lightness (brightness or darkness) of the colour.

2.3. Statistical Data Analysis

The data obtained were represented as means with standard deviations of triplicate observations. An analysis of the Independent T-Test and the variance analyses were carried out to compare the significant difference at a 95% confidence level using SPSS 16.0 (Fofana et al., 2011).

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Physical Properties of Malaysian Rice and Thai Rice

The comparisons of the physical properties of Malaysian and Thai rice are summarised in Table 1. The length of raw Malaysian and Thai rice varied from 7.10 to 7.54 mm, respectively. The Malaysian variety had a higher length (7.54 mm) than the Thai variety (7.10 mm). However, there were no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in terms of length
between Malaysian and Thai rice. According to Houston (1972), the length value of rice grains indicated as small has a maximum value 5.5 mm; medium has a value of 5.51 mm to 6.6 mm, and long has a value of 6.61 mm to 7.5 mm. Thus, according to the present study, Malaysian and Thai rice belong to the long-grain rice variety. Similar to the results obtained by Megha et al. (2019), it was reported that the length value of Sonamasoori Low Polish (SMLP) is 7.17 mm; Sonamasoori High Polish (SMHP) is 7.30 mm; Rajmudi Unpolished (RUR) is 7.00 mm, and Basmati (BR) is 11.2 mm. All of which were classified as raw long grain rice.

Table 1. Physical properties of rice from different rice varieties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Properties of rice</th>
<th>Unit of measurement</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Malaysia</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.54 ± 0.33&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length/breadth ratio</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.20&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain shape</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Short /slender</td>
<td>Short /slender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area</td>
<td>mm²</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.41 ± 1.38&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.00 ± 1.76&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density</td>
<td>g/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True density</td>
<td>g/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.15 ± 4.35&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.78 ± 0.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphericity</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.72 ± 1.23&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>38.87 ± 1.25&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.29 ± 1.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>27.31 ± 1.55&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousand weight kernels</td>
<td>g</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.34 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.26 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of repose</td>
<td>Degrees</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data were expressed as mean ± SD, and each value is a mean of duplicate readings. Means followed by a different letter within the same row are significantly different (p < 0.05).

In terms of width, Malaysian and Thai raw rice varied from 1.95 to 1.98 mm. The results present no significant variation (p > 0.05) in width between both varieties. The current results showed similar values with Mat Candu types (1.97 mm) reported by Husain (1984). The Malaysian variety showed a higher thickness value of 1.66 mm, while the Thai variety showed a lower thickness value of 1.53 mm. Meanwhile, the Malaysian variety exhibited a higher surface area value of 24.41 mm², whereas the Thai variety indicated a lower surface area value of 22.00 mm². Significant differences (p < 0.05) between the Malaysian and Thai varieties were found in thickness and surface area. Besides, the short and slender grain shape can be indicated by the length/breadth ratio. In other words, a length to breadth ratio of above three is generally considered as slender (IRRI, 1980). The length/breadth (l/b) ratio and true density of Malaysian and Thai raw rice varied within the range of 4.11 to 4.16 mm and 1.50 to 1.55 g/ml, respectively. The length/breadth ratio and true density were not significantly
different ($p > 0.05$) between the Malaysian and Thai varieties. Similar to other studies, which were observed by Thomas et al. (2013), it was reported that there were no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in the respected aspect ($l/b$ ratio) for Basmati rice (4.18 mm).

Grain weight provides information about the size and density of the grains. Uniform grain weight is essential for consistent grain quality. Thus, bulk density is the material's weight, including the intergranular air space in unit volume (Bhattacharya, 2013). The current study shows significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) bulk density in Thai rice (0.86 g/ml) compared to Malaysian rice (0.80 g/ml). It indicates a more significant storage area or bin is required for storage compared to Malaysian rice. As reported previously by Pandey et al. (2016), this could be attributed to the greater length (6.7 mm) and thickness (2.2 mm) of the Jyoti rice variety as compared to the given rice cultivars. The higher bulk density of Shel Kew might be due to its grain's greater width (2.91 mm). The bulk density of grains is useful in designing silos and storage bins (Nalladurai et al., 2002). The larger the bulk density value, the bigger the size requirement for the silo and bin design despite their same weight. Bulk density is a vital parameter from a storage point of view required in bulk storage facilities like a silo. This property develops a vital role in silo wall pressure and grain flowing behaviors (Ghadge & Prasad, 2012). The previous research done by Thomas et al. (2013) reported the results of bulk density from white (local), Bario rice, and Basmati rice were 0.81, 0.82, and 0.81 g/ml, respectively, were not significantly different from the current study.

Meanwhile, actual density is the measurement of the particles that make up powder or particulate solids. Cereal grain kernel densities have been of interest in breakage susceptibility and hardness studies. The present study showed no significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were found in the actual density between the Malaysian (1.55 g/ml) and Thai varieties (1.50 g/ml). The thousand-grain weight delivers information about the size and density of the grain Megha et al. (2019). This property will affect the moisture retention and cooking characteristics significantly. Uniform grain weight is essential for consistent grain quality. The Malaysian variety (20.34 g) holds the higher value of a thousand weight kernels, while the Thai variety (17.26 g) presents the lowest value. Previously, the Njavara variety (Medicinal red rice) was reported to have an average value of 1000 grain weight of 20.1 g (Elsy et al., 1992) and to range between 18.5 g to 30.0 g as given by Reddy (2000).

Additionally, aspect ratio distribution is essential to classify the varieties and exclude the off size in market grade (Varnamkhasti et al., 2008). Sphericity is defined as the ratio of the sphere's surface area having the same volume as that of the grain to the grain's surface area.
area (Mohsenin, 1970). Sphericity is vital for designing storage in bulk for bins and silos. The sphericity values of raw, rough rice fall within the range of 0.32-1, as Mohsenin (1986) reported for most agricultural materials. Paddy grains with small sphericity will likely be more challenging to roll freely on a flat surface area (Sanusi et al., 2017). In the current study, Malaysian and Thai varieties present 38.72% and 38.87% sphericity, respectively. According to Sadeghi et al. (2010), Sorkheh and Sazandegi cultivars' sphericity was observed to range from 37 to 46% and 37 to 43%, respectively. There were no significant variations between varieties. The angle of repose is another important physical property used to characterize the bulk of particulate foods: seeds, grains, flours, and grits. This angle is essential for the design of processing, storage, and conveying systems of particulate materials. Materials with a low angle of repose are highly flowable and can be transported using gravitational force or a little energy (Al-Hashemi & Al-Amoudi, 2018). Therefore, the present study showed 1.45 degrees in the angle of repose of Malaysian rice, which was higher than Thai rice (1.30 degrees). Nevertheless, no significant variation was showed between both varieties.

In general, the current study shows no significant differences (p > 0.05) in terms of porosity, sphericity, aspect ratio, and angle of repose. However, significant variations (p < 0.05) in terms of thickness, surface area, bulk density, and thousand weight of kernels were shown between both cultivars.

3.2. Colour and Hardness of Malaysian and Thai Varieties

Figure 1 presents the comparison of colour; L, a*, and b*, respectively, between Malaysian and Thai cultivars. The colour of rice is often referred to as general appearance. The lightness and whiteness of cooked rice have a positive effect on consumer acceptance. However, most of the degree of colour change occurs during steaming or retorting (Hapsari et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2019). The results indicated that the Malaysian variety (L = 55.57) was lighter in colour than the Thai variety (L = 55.44). The colour measurement showed that the rice kernel was whiter and brighter in colour. Furthermore, a* of Thai rice has a higher (a* = -0.44) green value than Malaysian rice (a* = -0.75). Moreover, b* showed that Thai rice (b* = 5.86) has a higher yellow value than Malaysian rice (b* = 4.96).
Figure 1. Comparisons of colour were indicated by lightness (L*), a* and, b* of rice kernel between Malaysia and Thailand.

Besides, Figure 2 presents the comparison of hardness between Malaysian and Thai cultivars. The result signifies that the rice variety from Malaysia showed a lesser value of 9.83 ± 3.57 kg than Thailand, which showed a value of 11.76 ± 2.15 kg. In general, Thai rice cultivars display significantly greater (p < 0.05) hardness compared to Malaysian rice cultivars. The difference in variety in rice grains' hardness is due to the difference in the compact arrangement between rice cultivars of starch granules. The Thai variety may be hard due to its higher content of the bran layer, while lower hardness in Malaysia rice is assumed due to the thin bran layer's likely presence (Chapagai et al., 2020). Besides, the lower hardness level is preferred as it will affect the cooking properties. Shafutri et al. (2016) responded that the cooked rice with a high hardness value was harder than a low value.
4. Conclusion

In general, the investigation has presented that the physical dimensions (thickness, surface area, bulk density, thousand weight kernels, colour (a*) and hardness) of Malaysian rice and Thai rice vary significantly. The current study's significant findings reflected that Malaysia rice had a thickness of 1.66 mm, surface area of 24.41 mm², bulk density 0.80 g/ml, thousand weight kernels of 20.34 g, color (a*) of -0.44, and hardness of 9.83 kg. The Thai rice had a thickness of 1.53 mm, surface area of 22.00 mm², bulk density 0.86 g/ml, thousand weight kernels of 17.26 g, color (a*) of -0.75, and hardness of 11.76 kg. The differences in their physical parameters could affect consumers' selection as they have their rice quality preferences. In conclusion, Malaysian rice possessed higher consumer preferences in lighter colours with lower bulk density and hardness value.
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